(CIA Act of 1949

Approved For Release 2007/01/17: CIA-RDP01-01773R000300010006-5

Approved For Release 2007 act 17: Charles 1-91773 R000300010096-5et - Laye 208-213 [chk His]

1. permitted procurement by the CIA w/out advertising under

2. provided for training and edu. of Agency personnel

- 3. provided for special travel allowances and related exbe + medial of
- 4. made special allowances for transfer of funds betCIA
- 5. made an exception to statutory prohibitions by permitting CIA to employ up to 15 retired officers of the armed services
- 6. permitted CIA to w/hold publication of personnel info-titles, slaries, or nombers
- 7. granted DCI authority to approve entry into US of certain aliens and their families, subj to concurrence of Atty Gen and Commissioner of Immi and Naturalization
- 8. gave DCI authority to expend funds w/out restricttions which applied toxxxxxx other agenceis

Cong. in passing He CIA at y 1949 whentand about some fits
pren: to be informed of Derack of see DoB of the purisficulary for eIA;
to know precious personnel or byothyims

deficiencies vin For Reneaset 2007/01/17: \$\langle \text{RD} \text{PO}\_2 -01773 \text{R000300010006-5} \$\text{SECRET}\$

Pforzhemier Memo for the Record, 24 Jan 1952, Subj: CIA

by this date taking of submitting bill to repeal Secs. 3 (Procurement Authorities) and 5 (Travel, Allowances, and Related Expenses) of 1949 act.

When sections 3 and 5 were passed, they appeared all that CIA would need in these fields. But subsequent experience has shown that w/ new laws and new interpretations, as well as expanded CIA operations, it would now be necessary to amend appro. 1/3 of Sec. 5 and request major changes in Sec. 3. These 2 sections, rather than being permissive, as had 1st been contemplated, were now acting as limitations on CIA operations.

Approved For Release 2007/01/17: CIA-RDP01-01773R000300010006-5
Pforzheimer Journal
9 Feb 1950

discussion w/ BOB re repeal or amendment of Sec. 9 of P.L.

enabling legislation defining the authorities of the CIA and establishing procedures for its admin.

quits CIA the authorf recessary for its proper alministration a housekeeping till to aid in the administration of geneticing of the CIA.

Having raid its functional authorities, CIA now readed administration

functional vs. administrative authorities

during consideration of 1947 act,

reps of CIG had regentedly stated their in tertains of submittening

faller legislation As som as the basic charter was opposed.

+ IN fact, drafts of the entiting legislation had been shown to

various congressmen during consideration of the 1947 act.

Approved For Release 2007/01/17: CIA-RDP01-01773R000300010006-5

item # 5, OLC material
in HS vault

Hillenkoetter to Webb (Director, BOB), Mar. 1, 1948

I appeared before House Comm. on Armed Serv on 24 Feb 48. The comm. asked if we had any need for further legislation, which I answered in affirmative. They suggested that it be forwarded to them by March 1, adding that anything reaching them after 15 March would in all probability receive no consideration from this Cong.

STAT

1949 act Approved For Release 2007/01/17 : CIA-RDP01-01773R000300010006-5 Job 60-321, box 1

[Pforzheimer[ Memo for the Record, 15 March 1948

13 Mar 48--DCI and WLP call upon Sen. Gurney re proposed CIA bill. Gurney agrred to introduce the legislation but was irked that covering letter addressed to Pres. pro tempore Vandenberg rather than himself. Gurney later introduced the bill (S. 2306)

on 15 Mar, WLP informed that Mr. Andrews of House ArmServComm would introdice the bill in the House. A His hadis on Mar. 16 (HR 5871)

also talked w/ John McCormack, Minority Whip, abt the bill. McCormack suggested it might be a good idea for DCI to request a hearing before House Commm on Expen in the Exec Depts, as a matter of tactics. This has been referred to DCI for consideration.

The brain procures of the Agency's dreft (Man. '48) covered areas of procures of the Agency's dreft (Man. '48) covered areas of procures of the Agency's dreft (Man. '48) covered areas of procures of the allowances of related expenses, general authorities; of appropriations, including unroundered funds asked for procurent procedures similar to those of arreal services.

If it authorities similar to those great Frey Service, in order to desclop a career intell stoff additional authorities sought concerning security of DC1's reag. to protect sources or notifolds

Walter andrews of N. Y. - chair, House arm Serv Com in

HR 5871 was identical 4/ 5, 2306

Approved For Release 2007/01/17: @ Rent Pot - 01772 R 000 1000 6-5

HS vault

SECRET

Pforzheimer Memo to Executive Director, 30 Mar 48

in preparing for DCI's appearances before House and Senate ArmServComms, it would be useful to supply DCI w/ 2 or 3 gadgets such as trick fountain pens, trick cameras, or "other toys which would be effective as exhibits indicating the specialized nature of certain of our procurements."

[ask Pforz if these were in fact used]

XEROX OLC mapper verifor 12007/01/17: CIA-RDP01-01773 R000300010006-5

SECRET

Houston Memo for DCI, 7 Apr 1948, Subj: Proposed Legislation

contains answers to the following Qs:
--How has CIA functioned w/out the authorization contained in the propsed legislation?
--What features of this bill have no precedent in existing law for any other branch of the govt?
--How does CIA prposed to obtain appropriations w/out disclosing the amt. and source?
--What safeguards are provdied in the spending of money by CIA?

XEROX 60-321 Approved For Release 2007/01/17 : CIA-RDP01-01773R000300010006-5

DCI appears before House subcomm

Pforzheimer Memo, 8 Apr 1948

re appearance of DCI before Subcomm No. 3 of House ArmServComm in connection w/ HR 5871 hearing in esecutive session

subcomm decides to delete authority for DCI to issue up to 50 visas per yr.

after further discussion, subcomm unanimously approved HR 5871 with certain textual changes

XEROX 60-321AppAoAer For R949ase 2007/01/17: CIA-RDP01-01773R000300010006-5

UNCLASSIFIED

Pforzheimer Memorandum, 13 May 1948

report on DCI's appearance before Senate ArmSerComm on 13 May

objections to using the word "alien" in giving OCI authority to hire - but not to the idea of alloing CIA to hire alien

Approved For Release 2007/01/17: CIA-RDP01-01773R000300010006-5

Senate Rep. 1302, May 17, 1948

"In almost all instances, the powers and authorities contained in the bill already exist for some other branch of the Govt, and the bill merely extends similar authorities to the Central Intell A."

hearings conducted in executive session becuz of the sensitiviety of the subj.

Sec. 3, granting CIA authorities already exercised by the armed services, pertains to procurement and advertising.

Sec 4, re edu. and training, similar to Foreign Service Act of 1946.

not a single word of explanation from the Comm as to the reasons for its acceptance, except to note that it was satisfied that all provisions were justified and necessary to the efficient operation of the intell. service of the US.

XEROX 60-321 Approved For Release 2007/01/17 : CIA-RDP01-01773R000300010006-5

RESTRICTED

Pforzheimer Memo for DCI, 18 May 1948, Subj: CIA Legislation

compares S. 2688 w/ HR 5871.

Cong free ingover of Reference 1771/17 CIA RDP01-01773R000390010006-5 in HS vault

## UNCLASSIFIED

Houston Memorandum to the Files, 11 March 1949, Subj: The Comptroller General's Views on H.F. 2663

the original CIA draft of March 1948 had proposed that BOB must approved all sums expended by CIA. the Senate in 1948 had specifically eliminated BOB control. In drawing up the 1949 draft w/in the Exec. branch, it was decided to abide by the Senate's language of 1948.

THIS IS IMPORTANT -- find out more on this.

5. 2688 - Reported out unanimously by Sente and Sow. Com. in May 1948

passed by Soute on 21 June 48 up little return andercon consinously Reported out of House arrest sow. Com. - report realy identity not collect up on flow of House due to lack of time merevaling of the thicky of it spensor

5. 2688 satisfantily the same lill As CIA Act 4/1949

Arthru Darling interview w/ Walter Pforzheimer, 1952 SECRET

on June 21, 1948--Repre. Marcantonio (a "communist") stopped the enabling act. Sen. Gurney had gotten the measure thru the Senate and had reached an understanding w/ Repre. Halleck that the Senate's bill would go thru the House.

but Marcan tonio delayed the bill, arguing that the US should have no spy system. And as Halleck was preoccupied w/ the nominating conventions which were due to begin soon, he decided not to tackle what could be a drawnout and possibility controversial affairs.

reiterates that Agency very pleased with the measure as it eventually appeared in 1949; the kAgency got just abt everything that it wanted in the measure.

Marcantonis dayal that CIA trying to infiltrate lobor anions, are accusation which full are to existe the C10.

Approved For Release 2007/01/17: CIA-RDP01-01773R000300010006-5 alien provision item # 7, OLC materials in HS vault

CONFIDENTIAL

Pforzheimer Memo to DCI, 16 Nov 1948, Subj: Proposed CIA Law for Admission of Aliens [La-Red - I have not seen entre document]

compares provisions re admission of aliens in the 1948 proposal w/ the proposal now under consideration --draft substantially the same as the 1948 one save following exceptions:

line 1 has been changed from "When the Director shall determine . . " to read "Whenever the Director and the Attorny Gen shall determine. . . "

no. of aliens permitted raised from 50 to 100

re 1949 bill, see:

---Senate Report No. 1302, 80th Cong, 2d sess., May 17,

---House Report No. 160, 81st Cong, 1st sess, Feb 24, 1949

---Senate Report No. 106, 81st Cong, 1st sess, March 10,

H Report 1853, 80 mg.

UNCLASS.

House and Senate reports do little more than repeat verbatim the provisions themselves.

House Armed Serv Comm.report frankly admitted that the report did not contain a detailed explanation of the legislation. it assured the House however, that it had received "a complete explanation of all the features of the prepered measure" and that it was "satisfied that all sections of the proposed legislation are fully justified."

[House REport No. 160, 81st Cong, 1st sess], Feb 24,1949

"A few of the provisions [of the proposed bill] are unusual in nature, but nevertheless are essential to the successful operation of an efficient intell service."

the bill has the unanimous approval of the Comm.

"The importance and necessity for an efficient, capable intell service cannot be overemphasized." This legislation will do much toward that end.

only slightly more detailed than the one the yr before, but admits it does not contain "a full and detailed explanation of all of the provisions of the proposed legislation in view of the fact that much of such info is of a highly confidential nature. However, the Comm on Armed Serv rec'd a complete explanation of all features of the proposed measure. The comm is satisfied that all sections of the proposed legislation are fully justified."

## UNCLASSIFIED

Re 1949 CIA act--hearings held by both comms. on Armed Services--hearings in executive session. the two comms. released only skeleton reports, noting tht much of the testimony was too sensitive to share w/ their colleagues, but assuring the 2 houses that the legislation was fully justified.

6.1942 m

there was some protest re the comm's failure to inform the Cong of the full implications of the bill. In hte House, Emanuel Celler said: "Certainly if the members of the Armed Folias Sorvices Comm can hear the detailed information to support why cannot our entire membership? Are they the Brahmins and we the untouchables?"

[already one sees the formation of a 2-class system in Cong concerning intell. matters]

p. 511

section pertaining to admission of aliens strongly desired by CIA becuz it was felt that this would provide inducemment to outstanding indivs w/ hight intell. potential to approach US officials in order to pass valuable info. W/out such a provision, these indivs might be dissuaded from HPPXH cooperating w/ US becuz of the dangers the lentghty delatys would place them in Judiciary Comm in House threatened to move to strike the alien section from the bill and have the matter referred to itself.
CIA argued that the purpose of this section was intimately tied to the nat. intell. mission, and was not in any way connected w/ broad immigration Qs of quotas, displaced persons, and the like

CIA noted that State, the Displaced Persons Commission, and Immigration and Naturalization Service have all agreed to CIA request

need for Approved For Release 2007/01/17: CIA-RDP01-01773R000300010006-5 in HS vault

two partial of the same of the

transcript of proceedings before House Rules Comm, 4 Mar 1949

as Sasscer candidly admitted, the bill would legalize certain functions CIA had already been performing, as well as consolidate w/in CIA certain fun s that have been carried on in some of the other depts of the govt

Rep. Holifield sought assurances that CIA would not have any funcs relating to internal security.

Sasscer repeatedly emphasizes that w/ one or two exceptions, CIA only being granted powers already exercised by other agencies in the govt.

Marcantonio: raised 4 objections to the bill--the procedural one of asking the House to suspend its prerogatives by not being informed of the bill's explanation; the threat to civil liberties offered by the bill; the danger that CIA would infiltrate labor organizations; and the admission of undesirables thru the alien provision.

Sasscer defends the bill by remarking that a "secondbest intell service is almost as bad as none at all." p 1944

Celler: warns that the bill will enable the Atty Gen and DCI to admit "Facists, [sic] Chommunists, Hitler sadists, morons, moral perverts, syphilitics, or lepers" p. 1945 and advises the ArmSerComm "to stick to its own knitting. When immigration is involved, let the proper comm be consulted—the Judiciary Comm."—the innightor proteins include "how the cold can is unlerging the serves of some of an high mid authorities."

Approved For Release 2007/01/17: CIA-RDP01-817-37-2009300010096-5

"We have gone throught two world wars. We Marcantonio: wave gone throught a civil war and the Cong has never been asked to vote for any legislation w/out explanation of all of the provisions of the bill and that is what this report asks the Members of this House to do. . . . If under the wave of hysteria you want to abdicate your legislative funcs to just one comm of the House, that is your privilege, but as for me I refuse to do it." p. 1946

Short, of Missouri: admits there is some plausibility in Marcantonio's concerns. "I suppose that none of us in the Chamber at this moment likes this particular kind of legislation." But then adds that "the weakest link in our chain of nat. defense in days gone by has been in a weak intell system."

"We are engaged in a highly dangerous business. It is something I naturally abhor but sometimes you are compelled to fight fire w/ fire. There is no other way out of it so far as I can see and perhaps the less we say in public abt this bill the better off all of us will be."

p. 1947

passes House on March 7 by vote of 348 - 4.

the 4 negative voets were by Marcantonio (NY); Powell (D,NY) , Morris (D, Okla), and Bosone (D, Utah)

provides for the agency's administration

role of Represidented as 2007/01/17: CIA-RDP01-01773P2000#000100005 materials in HS vault UNCLASSIFIED

Hillenkoetter to Rep. Lansdale G. Sasscer, 9 Mar 49 re his work w/ CIA bill, thanks him "for becoming the 'father' of the CIA legislation."

[ask abt this]

1949 cong. cons: constact Release 2007/01/17: CIA-RDP01-0177289093009,108965 materials, in HS vault

UNCLASSIFIED

"Historical Background of the Central Intelligence Agency," undated, draft, no author indicated

11 Feb 49--draft legislation sent to Cong w/ explanation that it was substantially the same as S. 2688 and H.R. 5871, which the 80th Cong had considered. House debate took place on 7 March. Marcantonio provided the major opposition to the bill, arguing that the secrecy of the proceedings deprived Cong of necessary info; raising the dangers to civil liberties, in appropriatness of confindential funds, and the undesirability of the alien provisions. Celler also objected to the alien provisions, tho on jurisdictional grounds. Bill passed 348-4. In the Senate the jurisdictional issue w/ respect to the alien provision caused some concern. But after meeting w/ the DCI, Sen. McCarren, chair of Judiciary Comm, agreed to w/draw his objections, upon receiving assurrances from DCI that the latter would provide McCarren w/ a regular confidential report on the no. of aliens brought in. Senate ArmServComm reported out H.R. 2663 on 10 March. the debate on 27 May, Sen. Langer provided most of the 1 @ wies at officer opposition, based mainly on secrecy surrounding the bill, and the alien provisions. He offered two amendments (both accepted). Sen. Johnson also voiced concern over the sweeping, OVER powers being vested in the mil. [ Jahren's comments using - doit realing Senate passed H.R. 2663 as amended by voice vote 6 June, Conf. Comm. accepts amended bill.

Tydings, the bill's sponsor in the Senate, put the matter of secrecy quite bluntly. The reason for the secrecy, he siad, "is that we do not want men to lose their lives." p. 6949

Tydings, after extended exchanges w/ Langer: "I have already said much more in thid debate than should be disclosed. [hogwash] I think this debate is unfortunate. I think it ought to be in executive session. . . . This is one time when there ought to be secrecy. . . . We are not serving the United S or the brave men who are going forth under all kinds of difficulties to help to place the security of our Nation beyond peradventure."

p. 6952

Tydings: "... this bill is carefully worked out.

Every safeguard which could possibly be put into it w/out
destroying its purpose has been put into it."

p. 6952

perhaps surprisingly, neutro Cangeron Marcantoni romangon else speciet to the

Approved For Release 2007/01/17: CIA-RPS01r0f072R000100010006-5iew

## UNCLASSIFIED

"the '48 Act where I blew Senator McMahon out of the water."

the '49 act "was a breeze. The only prob w/ '49 was the . . . hold that Senator McCarran (our good friend) had on the legislation until certain things happened. Wehn they happened that hold disappeared and it breezed through, give or take Vito Marcantonio"



again, Marcantonio raised objections to what he called "this hush-hush bill," arguing it was subversive of the Bill of Rights and undermined the nation's democratic institutions. Also claimed it was "being sold to the country by hysteria."

## UNCLASSIFIED

CIA Act of 1949 passed in House on March 7, 1949, by vote of 348 to 4, and in Senate on May 27, by unanimous voice vote. Singed into law on June 20, 1949.

occasioned very little cong. debate.

bill sought to resolve disputes bet DCI and Defense Dept. also granted DCI authority to maintain high degree of secrecy around CIA.

It exempted the agency from such existing fed laws that required disclosure of the organization, functions, names, titles, salaries, of numbers of employees.

specified that funds may be expended "w/out regard to the provisions of law and regulations relating to the expenditure of govt funds" and that for objects of a "confidential, extraordinary or emergency nature," expenditures would be accountable solely by a certificate of the DCI

permits CIA to transfer funds from other govt agencies for duly authorized functions.

pp. 24-25

Sen. Millard Tydings a principal sponsor--Tydings acknowledged that the bill granted the agency a degree of secrecy, even from Cong, that had no parallel in peacetime.

## UNCLASSIFIED

CIA ACt of 1949--"measures were taken to restrict debate and public statements, w/ congressional leaders fearful that any open discussion would compromise the secret nature of the CIA's activities."

Reprs Sasscer [Cong Rec 1944]explicited assured his House colleagues aht w/ one or two exceptions, the bill granted no powers to CIA which at some time or other had not been granted to other agencies of the govt, or which other agencies were not then utiliziang thru their implementing legislation.

n. 57

re the voucher method of accounting, Sen. Tydings [Cong Rec 6955] noted that under normal circumstances, such a system would be outrageous—but added that the country owed the men who were undertaking such dangerous tasks "every possible protection we can possibly give them."

n. 58

budgetaryApproved#50r Release 2007/01/17: CIA-RDP01-01773R000300010006-5 Sandler, 28 Yrs.

UNCLASSIFIED

in CIA ACt of 1949, Cong exempted CIA from all provisions of consititutional and statutory law respecting the appropriation, expenditure, and accounting of public funds.

CIA given full authorization for procurement of supplies, services, and other facilities. Did not have to follow usual regs re advertising in negotiating purchases.

CIA granted authority to bring certain aliens and their families into US, w/out regard to other laws. No. of such persons limited to 100.

STAT

UNCLASS.

composed for most part of routine housekeeping provisions on procurement, training, travel allowances, and the like

but in 2 sections, marked abrupt break w/ customary practices governing relations bet legis. and execu. branches: a. can receive from other govt agencies monies for

performance of duly authorized funcs.

b. sums may be expended by Agency w/out regard to other laws and regulations relating to expenditure of govt. funds; for "objects of a confidential, extraordinary or emergency nature," expenditures to be accounted for soley on certficate of DCI.

1949 act gave statutory authorities to CIA

Approved For Release 2007/01/17: CIA-RDP01-01773R000300010006-5 HS/HC 800 Arthur Darling interview w/ Lawrence Houston, 1953

principles of the 1949 act were the same as those in the Enabling Bill of 1946. The final measure did contain refinements stemming from administrative experience since

His-

Houston not so sure abt Pforzheimer's contentions re Hallack and pol. considerations during the 1948 session [I don't yet know what this refers too--may be in the interview w/ Pforzheimer]. To Houseton the truth was that CON was typically far behind and simply did not get the Houston did not think that the

particularl effect. The measure was by this time one of tunity.

Report had any routine to which Cong. would come when it had an oppor-

STAT

Approved For Release 2007/01/17: CIA-RDP01-01773R000300010006-5
UNCLASSIFIED

CIA Act of 1949 the last piece of legislation affecting CIA's day-to-day operations publically debated by Cong for nearly 25 yrs. [but Sandler makes it seem there had been little debate even here] Bet. 1947 and early 70s, CIA operated by ground rules established in legislation of 1947 and 1949, supplemented by a series of secret presidential directives

1974

UNCLASSIFIED

again the troubblesome Q of cong. intent arises. What precisely did Cong. mean when it exempted CIA from certain funding limitations? If Cong in subsequent legislation limits the purposes for which the funds may be spent but does not expressly state whether the CIA is bound by this limitation, does the 1949 statute automatically exempt CIA from this limitation? Or did the legislators procedures in order to ensure security? The matter is

In other words, does the 1949 act supersede a subsequently voted appropriation restriction?

pp. 510-12